UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

)	
)	
In the Matter of:)	FDA DOCKET: 00N-1571
)	DATE: December 6, 2002
Enrofloxacin for Poultry: Withdrawal)	
of Approval of Bayer Corporation's)	
New Animal Drug Application)	
(NADA) 140-828 (Baytril))	
)	
)	
)	
)	

Center for Veterinary Medicine's Response to Bayer's Motion to Supplement its Document Submission Under 21 C.F.R. §12.85

On December 2, 2002, one week before the Center for Veterinary Medicine's written direct testimony is due, Bayer Corporation filed a Motion to Supplement its Document Submission under 21 C.F.R. §12.85, seeking to add over 300 additional exhibits (total thickness, approximately 2 ½ feet) to Docket 00N-1571. The Center for Veterinary Medicine notes that these documents, which were delivered to CVM on December 2, 2002, are not indexed and, while Bayer's Motion represents that these documents were either not reasonably known to them at the time of their previous filings; not reasonably available to them at the time of their previous filings; or, are documents, the relevance of which was not reasonably forseen at the time of the previous documents, many of these documents already had

previously been placed onto this Docket, some of them by the same party now moving to file them again.¹

Given the fact that this submission comes only one week prior to the deadline for submission of CVM's written direct testimony, and the fact that it is virtually impossible for CVM to review and respond to these new documents in its written direct testimony, CVM requests that the Administrative Law Judge order Bayer to provide CVM and the record with an index of the documents and to withdraw documents from this Motion that are already elsewhere on Docket 00N-1571 <u>before</u> CVM is required to respond to Bayer's Motion; but not before December 10.

Further, given the number of documents involved, CVM requests that once Bayer has indexed and consolidated its submission, CVM be given an additional 10 days to review the documents before responding to this Motion.

Finally, for those documents ordered to be placed on Docket No. 00N-1571, CVM requests that any such Order include the opportunity for CVM to submit additional testimony, if necessary, to address factual information and/or expert opinion contained in these documents, and a reasonable time period in which to prepare such additional direct testimony.²

CVM wants to clarify that it is not, at this time, objecting to the documents. In fact, an initial cursory review indicates that many of these documents are non-objectionable. CVM is merely asking that, in light of this eleventh-hour submission, CVM be granted the time to

¹ For example, an initial review by CVM counsel revealed that the following documents subject to this Motion, labeled B-1531, B-1546, B-1555, B-1776, B-1777, B-1780, B-1783, B-1787, B-1788, and B-1803 already appear on Docket 00N-1571 as G-1715, G-258, G-1772, B-609, B-122, B-186, B-287 (and G-169), B-413 (and G-299), B-423 (and G-307), and, G-586, respectively. CVM has not had a chance to conduct a systematic review of materials subject to this motion to see whether other documents are on the current Docket.

review an indexed and consolidated submission prior to having to respond to Bayer's Motion to Supplement, and for CVM to be able to present additional direct testimony on any such "new" document that is added to the Docket at this late date.

Respectfully submitted,

Nadine Steinberg

Counsel for CVM

5600 Fishers Lane (GCF-1)

Rockville, MD 20850

(301) 827-5050

²If the Administrative Law Judge denies Bayer's Motion to Supplement its Document Submission, CVM respectfully requests that the Order specify that those documents, not elsewhere on the record, will not be available to any party or participant to cite in its written direct testimony or other pleadings, including its post-hearing briefs.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that an original and a copy of the foregoing Center for Veterinary Medicine's Response to Bayer's Motion to Supplement its Document was hand delivered this 6th day of December, 2002, to:

Dockets Management Branch (HFA-305) Food and Drug Administration 5630 Fishers Lane (Room 1061) Rockville, MD 20852

I also certify that a copy of CVM's Response has been hand delivered, this 6th day of December, 2002, to:

The Office of the Administrative Law Judge Food and Drug Administration Room 9-57, HF-3 5600 Fishers Lane Rockville, MD 20857

I also certify that a copy of CVM's Response was e-mailed and also mailed, postage prepaid, this 6th day of December, 2002, to:

Robert B. Nicholas McDermott, Will & Emery 600 13th Street, NW Washington, DC 20005

and

Kent D. McClure Animal Health Institute 1325 G Street, NW, Suite 700 Washington, DC 20005 I also certify that a copy of CVM's Response was e-mailed, this 6th day of December, 2002, to:

Judge Daniel Davidson
The Office of the Administrative Law Judge
Food and Drug Administration
Room 9-57, HF-3
5600 Fishers Lane
Rockville, MD 20857

Dated: 12/6/02

Nadine Steinberg

Counsel for the Center for Veterinary Medicine 5600 Fishers Lane (GCF-1) Rockville, MD 20857

(301) 827-5050